THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No.17714 OF 2005

-

Dated: 13-12-2005

-

Between

K. Hari Singh, S/o. Ram Singh, Bapanaya Mothkula Thanda, Parvathipuram, Ramayanpet Mandal, Medak District.

...PETITIONER

AND

The Superintendent of Police, Medak District, Sanga Reddy, Medak District and another.

...RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

-

-

Petitioner questions the action of the respondents in taking away the R.C.Token, Transfer Certificate, Insurance Certificate and Pollution Certificate of his Hero Honda Motor Cycle bearing No. AP 9 J 4612 as illegal and arbitrary.

Counter has been filed on behalf of the respondents stating that the petitioner was convicted in Cr.Nos.6, 73 and 96 of 1991, Cr.No.57 of 1995 under Sections 457 and 380 Indian Penal Code of Balanagar Police Station and Cr.No.95 of 1998 under Sections 457 and 380 IPC of Bowenpally Police Station. It is further stated that the petitioners is a notorious criminal and committed several house burglaries in the police station limits of Balangar, Jeedimetla, Kukatpally, Sanjeevareddynagar and Bowenpally and involved in 19 criminal cases. Due to his involvement in the criminal cases, the respondents have opened a history sheet dated 28.11.2002 and the same is being renewed from time to time on the file of the second respondent, which is extended till 31.12.2005. The allegation that the second respondent

trespassed into the house of petitioner on 20.07.2005 along with four constables and abused Smt. Anasuya, Smt. Mangala, Smt. Laxmi and Smt. Papuri by touching their caste and tired to outrage the modesty of petitioner's wife is denied as false and baseless. The further allegation that the respondents have taken away the original R.C. Book, Insurance and Transfer letters and Pollution Certificate of the said Hero Honda vehicle is also denied. In fact, the complaint of the petitioner dated 22.07.2005 was forwarded to the Deputy Superintendent of Police for enquiry and submit report vide letter of the first respondent dated 09.08.2005 and the same is under enquiry.

In view of the aforesaid denial of the allegations leveled by the petitioner and the submission that the complaint of the petitioner was forwarded to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, I do not see any merits in the writ petition.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

_____

V. ESWARAIAH, J

December 13, 2005

DSK